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Fractal pattern in an electric discharge

This is very similar to the following [Halsey, 2000]:

- Diffusion-limited aggregation (DLA)
- Hele-Shaw flow

All these have similar underlying math, which is usually named the DLA model.
Diffusion-limited aggregation (DLA) model

Consider a dynamic system made up from 2 media (A and B). A penetrates into B with velocity \( \mathbf{v} \propto -\nabla p \), where \( p \) is defined in material B and is such that \( \nabla^2 p = 0 \) and \( p = \text{const} \) at the A–B interface.

Examples:

1. **Electric discharge**: A is a broken-down medium with high conductivity, B is a pre-breakdown dielectric, \( p \) is electrostatic potential, \( \mathbf{E} = -\nabla p \) is electric field, the ionization front velocity \( \mathbf{v} \propto \mathbf{E} \), \( p = \text{const} \) in highly-conducting medium A.

2. **DLA**: \( p \) is the density of colloidal particles in B which quickly diffuse and attach to A (with flux \( \propto \nabla p \); \( p = 0 \) at the interface).

3. **Viscous fingering in Hele-Shaw flow** [Saffman and Taylor, 1958]: A is an inviscid fluid (water), B is a viscous incompressible liquid in a porous medium (e.g., oil in sandstone), \( p \) is the pressure (\( = \text{const} \) in A), velocity in B is \( \mathbf{v} = -(k/\mu)\nabla p \), where \( k \) is the permeability in a porous medium and \( \mu \) is the viscosity of the fluid; \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \).
Analytic solution in 2D

Initially straight front $\parallel \nabla \hat{y}$ propagating into uniform field $\mathbf{E} = E_0 \hat{x}$; $\mathbf{v} = (v_0/E_0) \mathbf{E}$

$k$ is the wavenumber of initial perturbation

$k v_0 t = -2.50$

$k \phi/E_0$

$\log_{10}(E/E_0)$

Solution for the growth of a small harmonic perturbation is in terms of *curtate cycloids*. The field at the protrusions increases; perturbations sharpen until infinitely thin cusps are formed. Then, a fractal structure forms from infinitely thin protrusions, with branching at the preferred angle of $72^\circ$ [Devauchelle et al., 2012] and fractal dimension $D \approx 1.67–1.71$ [Halsey, 2000].
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Goal

To verify and/or amend the relation $v \propto E$ which was assumed for the DLA system.
We use QES equations [Pasko et al., 1997] with constant electron mobility \( \mu < 0 \) (\( \nu \) is the net ionization \( \nu_i - \nu_a \)):

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E} &= -\nabla \phi \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} &= \rho \\
\dot{\rho} &= -\nabla \cdot (\sigma \mathbf{E}) \\
\dot{\sigma} &= \nu(|\mathbf{E}|) \sigma
\end{align*}
\]

It may be shown that this system cannot describe streamers: this is done by spatial rescaling and showing that there is no intrinsic spatial scale. The streamer mechanisms are needed for propagation:

1. **Electron drift** adds \( \nabla \cdot (\mu \mathbf{E} \sigma) \) to the LHS of the last equation.
2. **Electron diffusion** adds \( D \nabla^2 \sigma \) to the RHS.
3. **Photoionization** adds an extra source \( p \) to the RHS. It is non-local, \( \propto S_i = \nu \sigma \) at a distance:

\[
p(r) = \int S_i(r') F(r - r') \, d^3r', \text{ where } F(r) = F(r) \to 0 \text{ for } r \to \infty
\]
Ionization front

Solve in 1D for an ionization front with curvature \( \kappa \) propagating with a constant velocity \( v \) along axis \( x \)

from \( S \): streamer (or broken-down, ionized) region at \( x \rightarrow -\infty \)
into \( N \): neutral (or pre-breakdown, non-ionized, i.e., \( \sigma = 0 \)) region at \( x \rightarrow +\infty \), with given external electric field
\[
E(+\infty) = E_0 = \hat{x}E_0
\]

Let us obtain the value (or range of values) for the velocity \( v \) which satisfies

1. finiteness;
2. correct boundary conditions at \( x = +\infty \) (i.e., in \( N \));
3. physical value restraints, such as \( \sigma > 0 \).
Ionization front determined by photoionization

The photoionization is a non-local source $p(r) = \int S_i(r') F(r - r') \, d^3r'$ proportional to the “local” ionization rate $S_i = \nu(E) \sigma$.

Consider a simple “exponential profile” model [Luque et al., 2007]:

$$F(r) = \frac{A}{\Lambda^2} \frac{e^{-r/\Lambda}}{4\pi r}$$

where $\Lambda$ is the “length” and $A = \int F(r) \, d^3r \ll 1$ is the “strength” (of photoionization). The ionization front looks like this:

$$\nu(E) = \beta E, \mu = 0, \kappa = 0, A \rightarrow 0, \nu = \nu_s = \Lambda \beta E_0$$
The front velocity is found to be in the range

$$v > v_s = \Lambda \nu(E_0) f(q) \left[ 1 + O\left(\sqrt{2A}\right) \right]$$

where $f(q)$ with $q = \kappa \Lambda / 2$ contains curvature dependence.

Observations:

1. The “strength” $A \ll 1$ plays only a minor role in determining the velocity, $\Lambda$ has a greater role (paradox for $A \to 0$).
2. Curvature lowers the velocity $\propto f(q)$. Intuitive understanding: the photoionization in a convex front not as efficient because photons are scattered out. The most efficient growth of perturbations is at scales $\sim \Lambda$.
3. The minimum ionization front thickness $\approx \Lambda$ corresponds to minimum velocity $v = v_s$. This is the “selected front” [Arrayás and Ebert, 2004].
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Reminder: in a DLA system which creates a fractal pattern, the front propagates with velocity $v \propto E$.

We get an “almost DLA” system in the photoionization case, for $\nu = \beta |E|$ ($\beta > 0$):

$$v = \pm \Lambda \beta f(q) E, \quad q = \kappa \Lambda / 2, \quad f(q) = \sqrt{1 + q^2 - q}$$

where $\pm$ corresponds to the polarity of the streamer. The dependence $f(q)$ must determine the transverse size of streamers.
Previous modeling of a fractal electric discharge
[Niemeyer et al., 1984, 2D]

- The velocity is modeled as cluster growth probability \( P \propto E^\eta \)
- The DLA system is for \( \eta = 1 \) but other values also give fractal structures

**TABLE I.** Dependence of the Hausdorff dimension \( D \)
on the exponent \( \eta \) used in the relation between probabili-
ty and local field [Eq. (3)].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \eta )</th>
<th>( D )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.89 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \sim 1.6^a )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Latest theory: \( D_{\text{DLA}} \approx 1.67–1.71 \) in 2D [Halsey, 2000].
Including curvature on a discrete grid is not very accurate. We approximate the notion of curvature with the number indicating into how many directions (next to the chosen direction) the cluster can grow at a given point.

Here is how we define the curvature (in units of $1/a$ where $a = 1$ is the grid step):

1. a “flat” surface (line) gives $\kappa = 0$;
2. a “corner” gives $\kappa = 1$;
3. a “rod” gives $\kappa = 2$;
Modified fractal model

Results for varying photoionization length $\Lambda$

Fractal discharge, $\Lambda=0$

Fractal discharge, $\Lambda=1$

Fractal discharge, $\Lambda=2$

Fractal discharge, $\Lambda=10$
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Ionization front velocity was calculated for electron drift, electron diffusion and photoionization streamer mechanisms. Most of the results were not shown, see next slide!

The most interesting results:

1. A range of velocities $v > v_s$ is always obtained instead of a fixed number;
2. Finite $v_s = v_{min}$ for infinitely small photoionization strength $A$ (paradox!).

This suggests that the streamer velocity may fluctuate significantly even for small changes in the parameters of the model.

Fractal modeling result: The transverse size of the simulated streamer is of the order of the photoionization length $\Lambda$. The analysis of small harmonic perturbations of a flat ionization front gives the same result.
Ionization front velocity, all mechanisms

Notation: \( \nu_d = \nu_0 - \kappa \mu E_0, \nu_0 = \nu(E_0) \)

1. No streamer mechanisms: \( \nu_s = 0 \) (no propagation).
2. Electron drift (with mobility \( \mu < 0 \)): \( \nu_s = \mu E_0 \) for negative streamers \( (E_0 < 0) \), \( \nu_s = 0 \) for positive streamers (no propagation).
3. Electron drift + diffusion with coefficient \( D = \text{const} \):
   \[
   \nu_s = \mu E_0 + 2\sqrt{D\nu_d} - \kappa D
   \]
   For \( \kappa = 0 \) this is same as Ebert et al. [1997].
4. Electron drift + photoionization with length \( \Lambda \):
   \[
   \nu_s \approx \mu E_0 + \Lambda \nu_d f(q), \quad q = \frac{\kappa \Lambda}{2}, \quad f(q) = \sqrt{1 + q^2} - q
   \]

Comments:
- If formula gives \( \nu_s < 0 \), must take \( \nu_s = 0 \).
- Solutions exist for \( \nu > \nu_s \), but \( \sigma \) is minimal in the front of the streamer at \( \nu = \nu_s \), this is minimal advanced ionization (MAI) condition, corresponding to the “selected front” of Arrayás and Ebert [2004].
- The velocity is generally reduced for a convex \( (\kappa > 0) \) front.
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