

THEORETICAL STUDY OF IONOSPHERIC MODIFICATION AND ELF/VLF WAVE GENERATION BY HAARP

Nikolai G. Lehtinen

STARLab, 350 Serra Mall, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, U.S.A

INTRODUCTION

HAARP (High Frequency Active Auroral Research Program) is a high power transmitter facility operating in the HF frequency range. After an upgrade to 3.6 MW power scheduled in 2006 it will have an effective radiated power (ERP) of the order of 2 GW in 2.8-10 MHz range, only a factor of ten less than lightning. Powerful HF facilities are used to study various effects occurring throughout all regions of ionosphere. The emission at 3 MHz, modulated at 2 kHz, will cause a modification of electron distribution at altitudes of 70-120 km. The resulting change in conductivity will modulate the polar electrojet current and lead to emission of ELF/VLF waves into the magnetosphere. The wave then propagates to a point geomagnetically conjugate to HAARP. We use a time-dependent solver of the kinetic equation, similar to ELENDF [1], to calculate the electron distribution function $f(\mathbf{v})$. Since the modification of ionosphere affects the propagation of the HAARP radio wave, the power flux is found self-consistently with the solution of the kinetic equation at each altitude. The problem bears significant scientific interest because of highly nonlinear dependence of electrojet modulation on the applied HF power, and the geomagnetic field effects.

KINETIC EQUATION, PULSE PROPAGATION AND ELF/VLF WAVE GENERATION

The ELENDF code [1] uses two-term spherical harmonic expansion of $f(\mathbf{v})$ to treat various electron gas processes, such as quasistatic electric field E , elastic scattering on neutrals and ions, inelastic and superelastic scattering, electron-electron collisions, attachment and ionization. We use the same expansion, but include also non-static (harmonic) E and geomagnetic field. The found $f(\mathbf{v})$ is somewhat similar to the ELENDF solution with $E=\text{const}$, in that it also has a sharp drop at ~ 2.5 eV due to electron energy losses to N_2 vibrational excitations, and a high-energy tail for high values of E . However, similar shapes occur for different amplitudes, the oscillating value of E corresponding to static value

$$E_{\text{eff}} = E / \sqrt{1 + (\omega_{\text{eff}} / \nu_{\text{eff}})^2}$$
, where $\omega^{\text{eff}} = \omega \pm \omega^H$ for the clockwise (ordinary) and counter-clockwise (ordinary) modes, correspondingly and ν^{eff} is the average value of elastic collision frequency. The conductivity for both high-frequency wave and DC field is then found using the anisotropic part of $f(\mathbf{v})$. The modulated DC conductivity results in the modulation of the electrojet current.

DISCUSSION

The previous models [e.g., 2] used mostly Maxwellian electron distribution, characterized completely by T^e . In the case of a non-Maxwellian distribution, one can define effective T^e in terms of the average electron energy. The calculated ΔT^e as a function of altitude for static heating shows some similarities with Maxwellian models, but also has new features such as nonlinear saturation of T^e .

REFERENCES

- [1] W.L. Morgan and B. M. Penetrante, "ELENDF: A time-dependent Boltzmann solver for partially ionized plasmas", *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, vol. 58, pp. 127-152, 1990
- [2] K. Papadopoulos, T. Wallace, M. McCarrick, G. M. Milikh and X. Yang, "On the Efficiency of ELF/VLF generation using HF heating of the auroral electrojet", *Plasma Physics Reports*, vol. 29, pp. 561-565, 2003.